UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,901	06/28/2006	Gerrit Hollemans	2004P00124WOUS	1985	
24737 7590 12/16/2011 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIA DCLUET MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER		
			HUR, ECE		
DNIARCLITT	RIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2172			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/16/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com debbie.henn@philips.com marianne.fox@philips.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/596,901	HOLLEMANS ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ECE HUR	2172				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>ECE HUR</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>MICHAEL A. SCATURRO</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>02 December 2011</u> .						
Type: X Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: Applicant [applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	□ No.					
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NONE</u> .						
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)						
Apllicant has not provided support paragraphs in the remarks for the amendments and the Claim language was not clear in terms of default display, highlighting versus selection. Examiner and the Applicant talked about the Claim Language and Specification support.						
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.						
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.						
☐ Attachment						
/Ece Hur/						

Application No.

Applicant(s)